arXiv:1410.8278v3 [math.RA] 24 Nov 2014

A Note on Braided T-categories over Monoidal Hom-Hopf Algebras

Miman You Shuanhong Wang *

Department of Mathematics, Southeast University

Nanjing, Jiangsu 210096, P. R. of China

Abstract Let $Aut_{mHH}(H)$ denote the set of all automorphisms of a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra H with bijective antipode in the sense of Caenepeel and Goyvaerts [2]. The main aim of this paper is to provide new examples of braided T-category in the sense of Turaev [14]. For this, first we construct a monoidal Hom-Hopf T-coalgebra $\mathcal{MHD}(H)$ and prove that the T-category $Rep(\mathcal{MHD}(H))$ of representation of $\mathcal{MHD}(H)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{MHYD}(H)$ as braided T-categories, if H is finite-dimensional. Then we construct a new braided T-category $\mathcal{ZMHYD}(H)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$, generalizing the main construction by Staic [11].

Key words: Monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra; Braided T-category; Diagonal crossed Hom-product, Monoidal Hom-Hopf T-coalgebra.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 16W30.

0. INTRODUCTION

Braided T-categories introduced by Turaev [14] are of interest due to their applications in homotopy quantum field theories, which are generalizations of ordinary topological quantum field theories. As such, they are interesting to different research communities in mathematical physics (see [5, 6, 13, 15, 16]). Although Yetter-Drinfeld modules over Hopf algebras provide examples of such braided T-categories, these are rather trivial. The wish to obtain more interesting homotopy quantum field theories provides a strong motivation to find new examples of braided T-categories.

The aim of this article is to construct new examples of braided T-categories isomorphic to the T-category $\mathcal{MHYD}(H)$ in [18]. For this purpose, we prove that, if (H, A, H) is a Yetter-Drinfeld Hom-datum (the second H is regarded as an H-Hom-bimodule coalgebra) in [18], with H finite dimensional, then the category ${}_A\mathcal{MHYD}^H(H)$ of Yetter-Drinfeld Hom-modules is isomorphic to the category of left modules over the diagonal crossed

*Corresponding author: Shuanhong Wang, shuanhwang2002@yahoo.com

Hom-product $H^* \bowtie A$. Then when H is finite-dimensional we construct a monoidal Hom-Hopf T-coalgebra $\mathcal{MHYD}(H)$, and prove that the T-category $Rep(\mathcal{MHD}(H))$ of representation of $\mathcal{MHD}(H)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{MHYD}(H)$ as braided T-categories.

The article is organized as follows.

We will present the background material in Section 1. This section contains the relevant definitions on braided T-categories, monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras and monoidal Hom-Hopf T-coalgebras necessary for the understanding of the construction. In Section 2, we define the notion of a diagonal crossed Hom-product algebra over a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra. And then when H is finite dimensional, we prove the category ${}_A\mathcal{MHYD}^H(H)$ is isomorphic to the category of left $H^* \bowtie A$-modules, ${}_{H^* \bowtie A}\mathcal{M}$.

Section 3, when H is finite-dimensional we construct a monoidal Hom-Hopf T-coalgebra $\mathcal{MHYD}(H)$, and prove that the T-category $Rep(\mathcal{MHD}(H))$ of representation of $\mathcal{MHD}(H)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{MHYD}(H)$ as braided T-categories.

Section 4, we construct a new braided T-category $\mathcal{ZMHYD}(H)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$, generalizing the main construction by Staic [11].

1. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout, let k be a fixed field. Everything is over k unless otherwise specified. We refer the readers to the books of Sweedler [12] for the relevant concepts on the general theory of Hopf algebras. Let (C, Δ) be a coalgebra. We use the "sigma" notation for Δ as follows:

$$\Delta(c) = \sum c_1 \otimes c_2, \ \forall c \in C.$$

1.1. Braided T-categories.

A *monoidal category* $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{I}, \otimes, a, l, r)$ is a category $\mathcal{C}$ endowed with a functor $\otimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ (the *tensor product*), an object $\mathbb{I} \in \mathcal{C}$ (the *tensor unit*), and natural isomorphisms a (the *associativity constraint*), where $a_{U,V,W} : (U \otimes V) \otimes W \to U \otimes (V \otimes W)$ for all $U, V, W \in \mathcal{C}$, and l (the *left unit constraint*) where $l_U : \mathbb{I} \otimes U \to U$, r (the *right unit constraint*) where $r_U : U \otimes \mathcal{C} \to U$ for all $U \in \mathcal{C}$, such that for all $U, V, W, X \in \mathcal{C}$, the *associativity pentagon* $a_{U,V,W\otimes X} \circ a_{U\otimes V,W,X} = (U \otimes a_{V,W,X}) \circ a_{U,V\otimes W,X} \circ (a_{U,V,W} \otimes X)$ and $(U \otimes l_V) \circ (r_U \otimes V) = a_{U,I,V}$ are satisfied. A monoidal categoey $\mathcal{C}$ is *strict* when all the constraints are identities.

Let G be a group and let $Aut(\mathcal{C})$ be the group of invertible strict tensor functors from

$\mathcal{C}$ to itself. A category $\mathcal{C}$ over G is called a *crossed category* if it satisfies the following:

- $\mathcal{C}$ is a monoidal category;
- $\mathcal{C}$ is disjoint union of a family of subcategories $\{\mathcal{C}_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in G}$, and for any $U \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$, $V \in \mathcal{C}_\beta, U \otimes V \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}$. The subcategory $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ is called the αth component of $\mathcal{C}$;
- Consider a group homomorphism $\varphi : G \longrightarrow Aut(\mathcal{C}), \beta \mapsto \varphi_\beta$, and assume that $\varphi_\beta(\varphi_\alpha) = \varphi_{\beta\alpha\beta^{-1}}$, for all $\alpha, \beta \in G$. The functors φ_β are called conjugation isomorphisms.

Furthermore, $\mathcal{C}$ is called strict when it is strict as a monoidal category.

Left index notation: Given $\alpha \in G$ and an object $V \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$, the functor φ_α will be denoted by ${}^V(\cdot)$, as in Turaev [14] or Zunino [19], or even ${}^\alpha(\cdot)$. We use the notation ${}^{\overline{V}}(\cdot)$ for ${}^{\alpha^{-1}}(\cdot)$. Then we have ${}^V id_U = id_{V^U}$ and ${}^V(g \circ f) = {}^V g \circ {}^V f$. Since the conjugation $\varphi : G \longrightarrow Aut(\mathcal{C})$ is a group homomorphism, for all $V, W \in \mathcal{C}$, we have ${}^{V\otimes W}(\cdot) = {}^V({}^W(\cdot))$ and ${}^{\mathbb{I}}(\cdot) = {}^V({}^{\overline{V}}(\cdot)) = {}^{\overline{V}}({}^V(\cdot)) = id_{\mathcal{C}}$. Since, for all $V \in \mathcal{C}$, the functor ${}^V(\cdot)$ is strict, we have ${}^V(f \otimes g) = {}^V f \otimes {}^V g$, for any morphisms f and g in $\mathcal{C}$, and ${}^V\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{I}$.

A *braiding* of a crossed category $\mathcal{C}$ is a family of isomorphisms $(c = c_{U,V})_{U,V} \in \mathcal{C}$, where $c_{U,V} : U \otimes V \to {}^U V \otimes U$ satisfying the following conditions:

(1) For any arrow $f \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha(U, U')$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}(V, V')$,

$$(({}^\alpha g) \otimes f) \circ c_{U,V} = c_{U'V'} \circ (f \otimes g).$$

(2) For all $U, V, W \in \mathcal{C}$, we have

$$c_{U\otimes V,W} = a_{U\otimes V W,U,V} \circ (c_{U,{}^V W} \otimes id_V) \circ a^{-1}_{U,{}^V W,V} \circ (\iota_U \otimes c_{V,W}) \circ a_{U,V,W},$$
$$c_{U,V\otimes W} = a^{-1}_{U{}^V V,{}^U W,U} \circ (\iota_{({}^U V)} \otimes c_{U,W}) \circ a_{U{}^V V,U,W} \circ (c_{U,V} \otimes \iota_W) \circ a^{-1}_{U,V,W},$$

where a is the natural isomorphisms in the tensor category $\mathcal{C}$.

(3) For all $U, V \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\beta \in G$,

$$\varphi_\beta(c_{U,V}) = c_{\varphi_\beta(U),\varphi_\beta(V)}.$$

A crossed category endowed with a braiding is called a *braided T-category*.

1.2. Monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras.

Let $\mathcal{M}_k = (\mathcal{M}_k, \otimes, k, a, l, r)$ denote the usual monoidal category of k-vector spaces and linear maps between them. Recall from [2] that there is the *monoidal Hom-category* $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k) = (\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M}_k), \otimes, (k, id), \widetilde{a}, \widetilde{l}, \widetilde{r})$, a new monoidal category, associated with $\mathcal{M}_k$ as follows:

- The objects of the monoidal category $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ are couples (M, ξ_M), where $M \in \mathcal{M}_k$ and $\xi_M \in Aut_k(M)$, the set of all k-linear automomorphisms of M;

- The morphism $f : (M, \xi_M) \to (N, \xi_N)$ in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ is the k-linear map $f : M \to N$ in $\mathcal{M}_k$ satisfying $\xi_N \circ f = f \circ \xi_M$, for any two objects $(M, \xi_M), (N, \xi_N) \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M}_k)$;
- The tensor product is given by

$$(M, \xi_M) \otimes (N, \xi_N) = (M \otimes N, \xi_M \otimes \xi_N)$$

  for any $(M, \xi_M), (N, \xi_N) \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M}_k)$.
- The tensor unit is given by (k, id);
- The associativity constraint $\widetilde{a}$ is given by the formula

$$\widetilde{a}_{M,N,L} = a_{M,N,L} \circ ((\xi_M \otimes id) \otimes \xi_L^{-1}) = (\xi_M \otimes (id \otimes \xi_L^{-1})) \circ a_{M,N,L},$$

  for any objects $(M, \xi_M), (N, \xi_N), (L, \xi_L) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$;
- The left and right unit constraint $\widetilde{l}$ and $\widetilde{r}$ are given by

$$\widetilde{l}_M = \xi_M \circ l_M = l_M \circ (id \otimes \xi_M), \quad \widetilde{r}_M = \xi_M \circ r_M = r_M \circ (\xi_M \otimes id)$$

  for all $(M, \xi_M) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$.

We now recall from [2] the following notions used later.

Definition 1.2.1. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ be a monoidal Hom-category. A *monoidal Hom-algebra* is an object (A, ξ_A) in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ together with an element $1_A \in A$ and linear maps

$$m : A \otimes A \to A;\ a \otimes b \mapsto ab,\ \ \xi_A \in Aut_k(A)$$

such that

$$\xi_A(ab) = \xi_A(a)\xi_A(b), \qquad \alpha(1_A) = 1_A, \tag{1. 1}$$
$$\xi_A(a)(bc) = (ab)\xi_A(c), \qquad a1_A = 1_A a = \xi_A(a), \tag{1. 2}$$

for all $a, b, c \in A$.

Definition 1.2.2. *A monoidal Hom-coalgebra* is an object (C, ξ_C) in the category $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ together with linear maps $\Delta : C \to C \otimes C$, $\Delta(c) = c_1 \otimes c_2$ and $\varepsilon : C \to k$ such that

$$\Delta(\xi_C(c)) = \xi_C(c_1) \otimes \xi_C(c_2), \qquad \varepsilon(\xi_C(c)) = \varepsilon(c), \tag{1. 3}$$
$$\xi_C^{-1}(c_1) \otimes \Delta(c_2) = \Delta(c_1) \otimes \xi_C^{-1}(c_2), \qquad c_1\varepsilon(c_2) = \xi_C^{-1}(c) = \varepsilon(c_1)c_2, \tag{1. 4}$$

for all $c \in C$.

Remark 1.2.3. (1) Note that (1.4) is equivalent to $c_1 \otimes c_{21} \otimes \xi_C(c_{22}) = \xi_C(c_{11}) \otimes c_{12} \otimes c_2$. Analogue to monoidal Hom-algebras, monoidal Hom-coalgebras will be short for counital monoidal Hom-coassociative coalgebras without any confusion.

(2) Let (C, ξ_C) and (C', ξ'_C) be two monoidal Hom-coalgebras. A monoidal Hom-coalgebra map $f : (C, \xi_C) \to (C', \xi'_C)$ is a linear map such that $f \circ \xi_C = \xi'_C \circ f, \Delta \circ f = (f \otimes f) \circ \Delta$ and $\varepsilon' \circ f = \varepsilon$.

Definition 1.2.4. A monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra $H = (H, \xi_H, m, 1_H, \Delta, \varepsilon, S)$ is a bialgebra with S in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$. This means that $(H, \alpha, m, 1_H)$ is a monoidal Hom-algebra and $(H, \alpha, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a monoidal Hom-coalgebra such that Δ and ε are morphisms of algebras, that is, for all $h, g \in H$,

$$\Delta(hg) = \Delta(h)\Delta(g), \quad \Delta(1_H) = 1_H \otimes 1_H, \quad \varepsilon(hg) = \varepsilon(h)\varepsilon(g), \quad \varepsilon(1_H) = 1.$$

S is the convolution inverse of the identity morphism id_H (i.e., $S * id = 1_H \circ \varepsilon = id * S$). Explicitly, for all $h \in H$,

$$S(h_1)h_2 = \varepsilon(h)1_H = h_1 S(h_2).$$

Remark 1.2.5. (1) Note that a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra is by definition a Hopf algebra in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$.

(2) Furthermore, the antipode of monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras has almost all the properties of antipode of Hopf algebras such as

$$S(hg) = S(g)S(h), \quad S(1_H) = 1_H, \quad \Delta(S(h)) = S(h_2) \otimes S(h_1), \quad \varepsilon \circ S = \varepsilon.$$

That is, S is a monoidal Hom-anti-(co)algebra homomorphism. Since ξ_H is bijective and commutes with S, we can also have that the inverse ξ_H^{-1} commutes with S, that is, $S \circ \xi_H^{-1} = \xi_H^{-1} \circ S$.

In the following, we recall the notions of actions on monoidal Hom-algebras and coactions on monoidal Hom-coalgebras.

Let (A, ξ_A) be a monoidal Hom-algebra. *A left* (A, ξ_A)*-Hom-module* consists of an object (M, ξ_M) in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ together with a morphism $\psi : A \otimes M \to M, \psi(a \otimes m) = a \cdot m$ such that

$$\xi_A(a) \cdot (b \cdot m) = (ab) \cdot \xi_M(m), \quad \xi_M(a \cdot m) = \xi_A(a) \cdot \xi_M(m), \quad 1_A \cdot m = \xi_M(m),$$

for all $a, b \in A$ and $m \in M$.

Monoidal Hom-algebra (A, ξ_A) can be considered as a Hom-module on itself by the Hom-multiplication. Let (M, ξ_M) and (N, ξ_N) be two left (A, ξ_A)-Hom-modules. A morphism $f : M \to N$ is called left (A, ξ_A)-linear if $f(a \cdot m) = a \cdot f(m), f \circ \xi_M = \xi_N \circ f$. We denoted the category of left (A, ξ_A)-Hom modules by $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}({}_A\mathcal{M}_k)$.

Similarly, let (C, ξ_C) be a monoidal Hom-coalgebra. *A right* (C, ξ_C)*-Hom-comodule* is an object (M, ξ_M) in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ together with a k-linear map $\rho_M : M \to M \otimes C, \rho_M(m) = m_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)}$ such that

$$\xi_M^{-1}(m_{(0)}) \otimes \Delta_C(m_{(1)}) = (m_{(0)(0)} \otimes m_{(0)(1)}) \otimes \xi_C^{-1}(m_{(1)}), \tag{1. 5}$$

$$\rho_M(\xi_M(m)) = \xi_M(m_{(0)}) \otimes \xi_C(m_{(1)}), \quad m_{(0)}\varepsilon(m_{(1)}) = \xi_M^{-1}(m), \tag{1. 6}$$

for all $m \in M$.

(C, ξ_C) is a Hom-comodule on itself via the Hom-comultiplication. Let (M, ξ_M) and (N, ξ_N) be two right (C, ξ_C)-Hom-comodules. A morphism $g : M \to N$ is called right (C, ξ_C)-colinear if $g \circ \xi_M = \xi_N \circ g$ and $g(m_{(0)}) \otimes m_{(1)} = g(m)_{(0)} \otimes g(m)_{(1)}$. The category of right (C, ξ_C)-Hom-comodules is denoted by $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}})$.

Definition 1.2.6. Let (H, m, Δ, S, ξ_H) be a monoidal Hom-bialgebra and $\alpha, \beta \in Aut_{mHH}(H)$. Recall from [18] that a *left-right* (α, β)*-Yetter-Drinfeld Hom-module* over (H, ξ_H) is the object $(M, \cdot, \rho, \xi_M)$ which is both in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}({}_H\mathcal{M})$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{H}})$ obeying the compatibility condition:

$$\rho(h \cdot m) = \xi_H(h_{21}) \cdot m_0 \otimes (\beta(h_{22})\xi_H^{-1}(m_1))\alpha(S^{-1}(h_1)), \tag{1. 7}$$

Remark 1.2.7. (1) The category of all left-right (α, β)-Yetter-Drinfeld Hom-modules is denoted by ${}_H\mathcal{MHYD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ with understanding morphism.

(2) If (H, ξ_H) is a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra with a bijective antipode S and S commute with α, β, then the above equality is equivalent to

$$h_1 \cdot m_0 \otimes \beta(h_2)m_1 = \xi_M((h_2 \cdot \xi_M^{-1}(m))_0) \otimes (h_2 \cdot \xi_M^{-1}(m))_1\alpha(h_1). \tag{1. 8}$$

for all $h \in H$ and $m \in M$.

(3) If $(M, \xi_M) \in {}_H\mathcal{MHYD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ and $(N, \xi_N) \in {}_H\mathcal{MHYD}^H(\gamma, \delta)$, with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in Aut_{mHH}(H)$, then $(M \otimes N, \xi_M \otimes \xi_N) \in {}_H\mathcal{MHYD}^H(\alpha\gamma, \delta\gamma^{-1}\beta\gamma)$ with structures as follows:

$$h \cdot (m \otimes n) = \gamma(h_1) \cdot m \otimes \gamma^{-1}\beta\gamma(h_2) \cdot n, \tag{1. 9}$$

$$m \otimes n \mapsto (m_0 \otimes n_0) \otimes n_1 m_1. \tag{1. 10}$$

for all $m \in M, n \in N$ and $h \in H$.

Definition 1.2.8. Let (H, ξ_H) be a monoidal Hom-algebra. A monoidal Hom-algebra (A, ξ_A) is called an (H, ξ_H)*-Hom-bicomodule algebra* in [18], with Hom-comodule maps ρ_l and ρ_r obeying the following axioms:

(1) $\rho_l : A \to H \otimes A,\ \rho_l(a) = a_{[-1]} \otimes a_{[0]}$, and $\rho_r : A \to A \otimes H,\ \rho_r(a) = a_{<0>} \otimes a_{<1>}$,

(2) ρ_l and ρ_r satisfy the following compatibility condition: for all $a \in A$,

$$a_{<0>[-1]} \otimes a_{<0>[0]} \otimes \xi_H^{-1}(a_{<1>}) = \xi_H^{-1}(a_{[-1]}) \otimes a_{[0]<0>} \otimes a_{[0]<1>}. \tag{1. 11}$$

Definition 1.2.9. Let (H, ξ_H) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra, (A, ξ_A) be an H-Hom-bicomodule algebra. We consider *the Yetter-Drinfeld Hom-datum* (H, A, H) as in [18], (the second H is regarded as an H-Hom-bimodule coalgebra), and *the Yetter-Drinfeld Hom-module category* ${}_A\mathcal{MHYD}^H(H)$, whose objects are k-modules (M, ξ_M) with the following additional structure:

(1) M is a left A-module;

(2) we have a k-linear map $\rho_M : M \to M \otimes H, \rho_M(m) = m_0 \otimes m_1$,

(3) the following compatibility conditions holds:

$$(a\cdot m)_0\otimes(a\cdot m)_1=\xi_A(a_{[0]<0>})\cdot m_0\otimes(a_{[0]<1>}\alpha^{-1}(m_1))S^{-1}(a_{[-1]}), \quad (1.\ 12)$$

$$a_{<0>}\cdot m_0\otimes a_{<1>}m_1=\xi_M((a_{[0]}\cdot\xi_M^{-1}(m))_0)\otimes(a_{[0]}\cdot\xi_M^{-1}(m))_1a_{[-1]}. \quad (1.\ 13)$$

for all $a\in A$ and $m\in M$.

Definition 1.2.10. Let (A,ξ_A) be a monoidal Hom-algebra, (M,ξ_M) be a monoidal Hom-algebra. Assume that (M,α_M) is both a left and a right A-module algebra (with actions denoted by $A\otimes M\to M$, $a\otimes m\mapsto a\cdot m$ and $M\otimes A\to M$, $m\otimes a\mapsto m\cdot a$). We call (M,ξ_M) an A-*bimodule* as in [9] if the following condition is satisfied, for all $a,a'\in A$, $m\in M$:

$$\xi_A(a)\cdot(m\cdot a')=(a\cdot m)\cdot\xi_A(a'). \quad (1.\ 14)$$

1.3. Monoidal Hom-Hopf T-coalgebras.

Definition 1.3.1. Let G be a group with unit 1. Then we recall from Yang Tao [17] that *a monoidal Hom T-coalgebra* (C,ξ_C) over G is a family of objects $\{(C_p,\xi_{C_p})\}_{p\in G}$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ together with linear maps $\Delta_{p,q}:C_{pq}\longrightarrow C_p\otimes C_q, c_{pq}\mapsto c_{(1,p)}\otimes c_{(2,q)}$ and $\varepsilon:C_e\longrightarrow k$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_{C_P}^{-1}(c_{(1,p)})\otimes\Delta_{q,r}(c_{(2,qr)})&=\Delta_{p,q}(c_{(1,pq)})\otimes\xi_{c_r}^{-1}(c_{(2,r)}), && \forall c\in C_{pqr},\\
c_{(1,p)}\varepsilon(c_{(2,e)})&=\varepsilon(c_{(1,e)})c_{(2,p)}=\xi_{C_p}^{-1}(c_p), && \forall c\in C_p,\\
\Delta_{p,q}(\xi{C_{pq}}^{-1}(c_{pq}))&=\xi_{C_p}^{-1}(c_{(1,p)})\otimes\xi_{C_q}^{-1}(c_{(2,q)}), && \forall c\in C_{pq},\\
\varepsilon(\xi_{C_e}^{-1}(c))&=\varepsilon(c), && \forall c\in C_e.
\end{aligned}$$

Let (C,ξ_C) and (C',ξ'_C) be two monoidal Hom T-coalgebras over G. A Hom-coalgebra map $f:(C,\xi_C)\longrightarrow(C',\xi'_C)$ is a family of linear maps $\{f_p\}_{p\in G}$, $f_p:(C_p,\xi_{C_p})\longrightarrow(C'_p,\xi'_{C_p})$ such that $f_p\circ\xi_{C_p}=\xi'_{C_p}\circ f_p$, $\Delta_{p,q}\circ f_{pq}=(f_p\otimes f_q)\Delta_{p,q}$ and $\varepsilon\circ f_e=\varepsilon$.

Definition 1.3.2. A *monoidal Hom-Hopf T-coalgebra* $(H=\bigoplus_{p\in G}H_p,\xi=\{\xi_{H_p}\}_{p\in G})$ is a monoidal Hom T-coalgebra where each (H_p,ξ_{H_p}) is a monoidal Hom-algebra with multiplication m_p and unit 1_p endowed with antipode $S=\{S_p\}_{p\in G}$, $S_p:H_p\longrightarrow H_{p^{-1}}\in\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{p,q}(hg)=\Delta_{p,q}(h)\Delta_{p,q}(g),\quad \Delta_{p,q}(1_{pq})=1_p\otimes 1_q, && \forall h,g\in H_{pq}\\
\varepsilon(hg)=\varepsilon(h)\varepsilon(g),\qquad \varepsilon(1_e)=1_k, && \forall h,g\in H_e\\
S_{p^{-1}}(h_{(1,p^{-1})})h_{(2,p)}=\varepsilon(h)1_p=h_{(1,p)}S_{p^{-1}}(h_{(2,p^{-1})}) && \forall h\in H_e.
\end{aligned}$$

Note also that the $(H_e,\xi_e,m_e,1_e,\Delta_{e,e},\varepsilon,S_e)$ is a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra in the usual sense of the word. We call it the neutral component of H.

Definition 1.3.3. A monoidal Hom-Hopf T-coalgebra $(H=\bigoplus_{p\in G}H_p,\xi=\{\xi_{H_p}\}_{p\in G})$ is called a *monoidal Hom-Hopf crossed monoidal Hom-Hopf T-coalgebra* if it is endowed with a family of algebra isomorphisms $\varphi=\{\varphi_\beta^\alpha:H_\alpha\to H_{\beta\alpha\beta^{-1}}\}_{\alpha,\beta\in G}$ such that

- each φ_γ preserves the comultiplication and the counit i.e., for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in G$, we have $\Delta_{\gamma\alpha\gamma^{-1},\gamma\beta\gamma^{-1}} \circ \varphi_\gamma = (\varphi_\gamma \otimes \varphi_\gamma) \circ \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}$ and $\varepsilon \circ \varphi_\gamma = \varepsilon$.
- φ is multiplicative, i.e., $\varphi_\beta \circ \varphi_\gamma = \varphi_{\beta\gamma}$, for any $\beta, \gamma \in G$.

It is easy to get the following identities, $\varphi_1|H_\alpha = id_\alpha$ and $\varphi_\alpha^{-1} = \varphi_{\alpha^{-1}}$, for all $\alpha \in G$. Moreover, φ preserves the antipode, i.e., $\varphi_\beta \circ S_\alpha = S_{\beta\alpha\beta^{-1}} \circ \varphi_\beta$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in G$.

2. THE DIAGONAL CROSSED HOM-PRODUCT

In this section, we define the notion of the diagonal crossed Hom-product over a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra that are based on Hom-associative left and right coactions. If H is finite dimensional, we prove the category ${}_A\mathcal{MHYD}^H(H)$ is isomorphic to the category of left $H^* \bowtie A$-modules, ${}_{H^*\bowtie A}\mathcal{M}$, generalizing the results in [1].

In what follows, let (H, ξ_H) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra with the bijective antipode S and let $Aut_{mHH}(H)$ denote the set of all automorphisms of a monoidal Hopf algebra H.

Definition 2.1. Let (H, ξ_H) be a finite dimensional monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra, (A, ξ_A) be a monoidal Hom-bicomodule algebra. Then the diagonal crossed Hom-product $H^* \bowtie A$ is defined as follows:

– as k-spaces, $H^* \bowtie A = H^* \otimes A$;

– multiplication is given by

$$(f \bowtie a)(g \bowtie b) = f(a_{[-1]} \rightharpoonup (\xi_H^{*2}(g) \leftharpoonup S^{-1}(a_{[0]_{<1>}}))) \bowtie \xi_A^2(a_{[0]_{<0>}})b; \qquad (2.\ 1)$$

$$h \rightharpoonup f = \langle f_2, \xi_H^{-1}(h)\rangle \xi_H^{*-2}(f_1) \quad \text{and} \quad f \leftharpoonup h = \langle f_1, \xi_H^{-1}(h)\rangle \xi_H^{*-2}(f_2); \qquad (2.\ 2)$$

for all $a, b \in (A, \xi_A),\ f, g \in (H^*, \xi_H^{*-1}), h \in (H, \xi_H)$.

Proposition 2.2. Let (A, ξ_A) be an (H, ξ_H)-Hom-bicomodule algebra and (H^*, ξ_H^{*-1}) be an (H, ξ_H)-Hom-bimodule algebra. Then the tensor space $H^* \otimes A$ is a Hom-algebra with the multiplication in the formula (2. 1) and the unit $\varepsilon_H \bowtie 1_A$.

Proof. It is obvious that $(\varepsilon_H \bowtie 1_A)(f \bowtie a) = \xi_H^{*-1}(f) \bowtie \xi_A(a)$, so $(\varepsilon_H \bowtie 1_A)$ is unit element. We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& [(f \bowtie a)(g \bowtie b)]\xi_{H^* \bowtie A}(\phi \bowtie c) \\
= \; & [f(a_{[-1]} \rightharpoonup (\xi_H^{*2}(g) \leftharpoonup S^{-1}(a_{[0]_{<1>}})))](((\xi_A^2(a_{[0]_{<0>}})b)_{[-1]} \rightharpoonup (\xi_H^*(\phi) \\
& \leftharpoonup S^{-1}((\xi_A^2(a_{[0]_{<0>}})b)_{[0]_{<1>}}))) \bowtie \xi_A^2((\xi_A^2(a_{[0]_{<0>}})b)_{[0]_{<0>}})\xi_A(c) \\
= \; & \xi_H^{*-1}(f)[(a_{[-1]} \rightharpoonup (\xi_H^{*2}(g) \leftharpoonup S^{-1}(a_{[0]_{<1>}})))\xi_H^*((\xi_H^2(a_{[0]_{<0>_{[-1]}}})b_{[-1]}) \rightharpoonup (\xi_H^*(\phi) \\
& \leftharpoonup S^{-1}(\xi_H^2(a_{[0]_{<0>_{[0]_{<1>}}}})b_{[0]_{<1>}}))))] \bowtie \xi_A^5(a_{[0]_{<0>_{[0]_{<0>}}}})(\xi_A^2(b_{[0]_{<0>}})c) \\
= \; & \xi_H^{*-1}(f)[(a_{[-1]} \rightharpoonup (\xi_H^{*2}(g) \leftharpoonup S^{-1}(a_{[0]_{<1>}})))\langle \xi_H^{*-1}(\phi_{221}), \xi_H(a_{[0]_{<0>_{[-1]}}})\rangle \\
& \langle \xi_H^{*-1}(\phi_{222}), \xi_H^{-1}(b_{[-1]})\rangle\langle \xi_H^*(\phi_{11}), S^{-1}\xi_H^{-1}(b_{[0]_{<1>}})\rangle\langle \xi_H^*(\phi_{12}), S^{-1}\xi_H(a_{[0]_{<0>_{[0]_{<1>}}}})\rangle \\
& \xi_H^{*-2}(\phi_{21})] \bowtie \xi_A^5(a_{[0]_{<0>_{[0]_{<0>}}}})(\xi_A^2(b_{[0]_{<0>}})c) \\
= \; & \xi_H^{*-1}(f)[(a_{[-1]} \rightharpoonup (\xi_H^{*2}(g) \leftharpoonup S^{-1}(a_{[0]_{<1>}})))\langle \xi_H^{*-2}(\phi_{2122}), \xi_H(a_{[0]_{<0>_{[-1]}}})\rangle \\
& \langle \phi_{22}, \xi_H^{-1}(b_{[-1]})\rangle\langle \xi_H^{*2}(\phi_1), S^{-1}\xi_H^{-1}(b_{[0]_{<1>}})\rangle\langle \phi_{211}, S^{-1}\xi_H(a_{[0]_{<0>_{[0]_{<1>}}}})\rangle \\
& \xi_H^{*-4}(\phi_{2121})] \bowtie \xi_A^5(a_{[0]_{<0>_{[0]_{<0>}}}})(\xi_A^2(b_{[0]_{<0>}})c) \\
= \; & \xi_H^{*-1}(f)[(a_{[-1]} \rightharpoonup (\xi_H^{*2}(g) \leftharpoonup S^{-1}(a_{[0]_{<1>}})))(\xi_H^2(a_{[0]_{<0>_{[-1]}}}) \rightharpoonup (\xi_H^{*2}(b_{[-1]} \rightharpoonup (\xi_H^{*2}(\phi) \\
& \leftharpoonup S^{-1}(b_{[0]_{<1>}}))) \leftharpoonup S^{-1}\xi_H^2(a_{[0]_{<0>_{[0]_{<1>}}}})))] \bowtie \xi_A^5(a_{[0]_{<0>_{[0]_{<0>}}}})(\xi_A^2(b_{[0]_{<0>}})c) \\
= \; & \xi_H^{*-1}(f)[(\xi_H(a_{[-1]_1}) \rightharpoonup (\xi_H^{*2}(g) \leftharpoonup S^{-1}\xi_H(a_{[0]_{<1>2}})))(\xi_H(a_{[-1]2}) \rightharpoonup (\xi_H^{*2}(b_{[-1]} \rightharpoonup (\xi_H^{*2}(\phi) \\
& \leftharpoonup S^{-1}(b_{[0]_{<1>}}))) \leftharpoonup S^{-1}\xi_H(a_{[0]_{<1>1}})))] \bowtie \xi_A^3(a_{[0]_{<0>}})(\xi_A^2(b_{[0]_{<0>}})c) \\
= \; & \xi_{H^* \bowtie A}(f \bowtie a)[(g \bowtie b)(\phi \bowtie c)].
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the multiplication is Hom-associative. This completes the proof. ■

Example 2.3. (1) If $(A, \xi_A) = (H, \xi_H)$ and $\rho_l = \rho_r = \Delta$ the formula (2. 1) coincides with the multiplication in the Drinfeld double $(D(H), \xi_H^{*-1} \otimes \xi_H) = (H^{*cop} \bowtie H, \xi_H^{*-1} \otimes \xi_H)$, i.e.

$$(f \bowtie h)(g \bowtie l) = f(h_1 \rightharpoonup (\xi_H^{*2}(g) \leftharpoonup S^{-1}(h_{22}))) \bowtie \xi_A^2(h_{21})l, \tag{2. 3}$$

for all $f, g \in H^*$ and $h, l \in H$.

(2) Recall from Example 2.5 in [18] that $\alpha, \beta \in Aut_{mHH}(H)$ and as k-vector spaces $(H(\alpha, \beta), \xi_H) = (H, \xi_H)$, and $(H(\alpha, \beta), \xi_H) \in {}_H\mathcal{MHYD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$, with with right H-Hom-comodule structure via Hom-comultiplication and left H-Hom-module structure given by:

$$h \cdot x = (\beta(h_2)\xi_H^{-1}(x))\alpha(S^{-1}(\xi_H(h_1))).$$

for all h, $x \in H$.

The diagonal crossed product $(A(\alpha, \beta), \xi_H^{*-1} \otimes \xi_H) = (H^* \bowtie H(\alpha, \beta), \xi_H^{*-1} \otimes \xi_H)$, whose multiplication is

$$(f \bowtie h)(g \bowtie l) = f(\alpha(h_1) \rightharpoonup (\xi_H^{*2}(g) \leftharpoonup S^{-1}(\beta(h_{22})))) \bowtie \xi_H^2(h_{21})l, \tag{2. 4}$$

for all $f, g \in H^*$ and $h, l \in H$.

The Drinfeld double $D(H)$ is a Hom-Hopf algebra with coproduct $\Delta_{D(H)}$ given by

$$\Delta_{D(H)}(f \bowtie h) = (f_2 \bowtie h_1) \otimes (f_1 \bowtie h_2), \tag{2. 5}$$

for all $f \in H^*$ and $h \in H$.

Proposition 2.4. Let (A, ξ_A) be an (H, ξ_H)-Hom-bicomodule algebra. Then $H^* \bowtie A$ is a $D(H)$-Hom-bicomodule algebra with two coactions $\rho_{r_{D(H)}} : H^* \bowtie A \to (H^* \bowtie A) \otimes D(H)$ and $\rho_{l_{D(H)}} : H^* \bowtie A \to D(H) \otimes (H^* \bowtie A)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{r_{D(H)}}(f \bowtie a) &= (f_2 \bowtie a_{<0>}) \otimes (f_1 \otimes a_{<1>}), \\ \rho_{l_{D(H)}}(f \bowtie a) &= (f_2 \bowtie a_{[-1]}) \otimes (f_1 \otimes a_{[0]}), \end{aligned}$$

where elements in $D(H)$ are written as $(f \otimes h), h \in H, f \in H^*, a \in A$.

Proof. In view of (2. 5) the comodule axioms and the Hom-coassociative (1. 11) are obvious. We are left to prove that $\rho_{r_D(H)}$ and $\rho_{l_D(H)}$ are Hom-algebra maps. To this end we use the following identities obviously holding for all $f \in H^*, h, l \in H$

$$\rho(h \rightharpoonup (f \leftharpoonup l)) = (\xi_H^{*-1}(f_1) \leftharpoonup l) \otimes (\xi_H^{-1}(h) \rightharpoonup \xi_H^{*-1}(f_2)), \tag{2. 6}$$

With this we now compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{r_{D(H)}}(f \bowtie a)\rho_{r_D(H)}(g \bowtie b) \\
= \;& [(f_2 \bowtie a_{<0>}) \otimes (f_1 \otimes a_{<1>})][(g_2 \bowtie b_{<0>}) \otimes (g_1 \otimes b_{<1>})] \\
= \;& (f_2(a_{<0>_{[-1]}} \rightharpoonup (\xi_H^{*2}(g_2) \leftharpoonup S^{-1}(a_{<0>_{[0]<1>}}))) \bowtie \xi_A^2(a_{<0>_{[0]<0>}})b_{<0>}) \\
& \otimes (f_1(a_{<1>1} \rightharpoonup (\xi_H^{*2}(g_1) \leftharpoonup S^{-1}(a_{<1>22}))) \otimes \xi_H^2(a_{<1>21})b_{<1>}) \\
= \;& (f_2\langle g_{21}, S^{-1}\xi_H(a_{<0>_{[0]<1>}})\rangle\langle g_{222}, \xi_H^{-1}(a_{<0>_{[-1]}})\rangle\xi_H^{*-2}(g_{221}) \bowtie \xi_A^2(a_{<0>_{[0]<0>}})b_{<0>}) \\
& \otimes (f_1\langle g_{11}, S^{-1}\xi_H(a_{<1>22})\rangle\langle g_{122}, \xi_H^{-1}(a_{<1>1})\rangle\xi_H^{*-2}(g_{121}) \otimes \xi_H^2(a_{<1>21})b_{<1>}) \\
= \;& (f_2\langle g_{22}, a_{<0>_{[-1]}}\rangle\xi_H^{*-1}(g_{21}) \bowtie \xi_A(a_{<0>_{[0]}})b_{<0>}) \otimes (f_1\langle g_{11}, S^{-1}(a_{<1>2})\rangle\xi_H^{*-1}(g_{121}) \\
& \otimes \xi_H(a_{<1>1})b_{<1>}) \\
= \;& (f_2\langle g_{22}, \xi_H^{-1}(a_{[-1]})\rangle\xi_H^{*-1}(g_{21}) \bowtie \xi_A^2(a_{[0]<0><0>})b_{<0>}) \otimes (f_1\langle g_{11}, S^{-1}(a_{[0]<1>})\rangle\xi_H^{*-1}(g_{121}) \\
& \otimes \xi_H^2(a_{[0]<0><1>})b_{<1>}) \\
= \;& (f_2(\xi_H^{-1}(a_{[-1]}) \rightharpoonup \xi_H^*(g_2)) \bowtie \xi_A^2(a_{[0]<0><0>})b_{<0>}) \otimes (f_1(\xi_H^*(g_1) \leftharpoonup S^{-1}(a_{[0]<1>})) \\
& \otimes \xi_H^2(a_{[0]<0><1>})b_{<1>}) \\
\overset{(2.6)}{=} \;& (f_2(a_{[-1]} \rightharpoonup (\xi_H^{*2}(g) \leftharpoonup S^{-1}(a_{[0]<1>})))_2 \bowtie \xi_A^2(a_{[0]<0><0>})b_{<0>}) \\
& \otimes (f_1(a_{[-1]} \rightharpoonup (\xi_H^{*2}(g) \leftharpoonup S^{-1}(a_{[0]<1>})))_1 \otimes \xi_H^2(a_{[0]<0><1>})b_{<1>}) \\
= \;& \rho_{r_{D(H)}}(f(a_{[-1]} \rightharpoonup (\xi_H^{*2}(g) \leftharpoonup S^{-1}(a_{[0]<1>}))) \bowtie \xi_A^2(a_{[0]<0>})b) \\
= \;& \rho_{r_{D(H)}}((f \bowtie a)(g \bowtie b)).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\rho_{r_{D(H)}}$ is a Hom-algebra map. The argument for $\rho_{l_{D(H)}}$ is analogous. ■

Example 2.4. Let (H, ξ_H) be finite dimensional. Then $(A(\alpha,\beta), \xi_H^{*-1} \otimes \xi_H)$ becomes a $D(H)$-bicomodule algebra, with structures

$$H^* \bowtie H(\alpha,\beta) \to (H^* \bowtie H(\alpha,\beta)) \otimes D(H), \qquad f \bowtie h \mapsto (f_2 \bowtie h_1) \otimes (f_1 \bowtie \beta(h_2)),$$
$$H^* \bowtie H(\alpha,\beta) \to D(H) \otimes (H^* \bowtie H(\alpha,\beta)), \qquad f \bowtie h \mapsto (f_2 \bowtie \alpha(h_1)) \otimes (f_1 \bowtie h_2).$$

for all $f \in H^*, h \in H$.

In the rest of this section we establish that if (H, ξ_H) is a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra and is finite dimensional then the category ${}_A\mathcal{MHYD}^H(H)$ is isomorphic to the category of left $H^* \bowtie A$-modules, ${}_{H^* \bowtie A}\mathcal{M}$.

Lemma 2.5. Let (H, ξ_H) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra and (H, A, H) a Yetter-Drinfeld Hom-datum. We have a functor $F :_A \mathcal{MHYD}^H(H) \to_{H^* \bowtie A} \mathcal{M}$, given by $F(M) = M$ as k-module, with the $H^* \bowtie A$-module structure defined by

$$(f \bowtie u) \triangleright m = \langle f, (u \cdot \xi_M^{-1}(m))_1 \rangle \xi_M^2((u \cdot \xi_M^{-1}(m))_0), \tag{2. 7}$$

for all $f \in (H^*, \xi_H^{*-1}), u \in (A, \xi_A)$ and $m \in (M, \xi_M)$. F transforms a morphism to itself.

Proof. For all $f,g\in H^*, a,b\in A$ and $m\in M$, we compute:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& [(f\bowtie a)(g\bowtie b)]\triangleright\xi_M(m)\\
= \;& [f(a_{[-1]}\rightharpoonup(\xi_H^{*2}(g)\leftharpoonup S^{-1}(a_{[0]<1>})))\bowtie\xi_A^2(a_{[0]<0>})b]\triangleright\xi_M(m)\\
= \;& \langle g_1, S^{-1}\xi_H(a_{[0]<1>})\rangle\langle g_{22},\xi_H^{-1}(a_{[-1]})\rangle[f\xi_H^{*2}(g_{21})\bowtie\xi_A^2(a_{[0]<0>})b]\triangleright\xi_M(m)\\
= \;& \langle g_1, S^{-1}\xi_H(a_{[0]<1>})\rangle\langle g_{22},\xi_H^{-1}(a_{[-1]})\rangle\langle f,((\xi_A^2(a_{[0]<0>})b)\cdot m)_{11}\rangle\\
& \langle\xi_H^{*-2}(g_{21}),((\xi_A^2(a_{[0]<0>})b)\cdot m)_{12}\rangle\xi_M^2(((\xi_A^2(a_{[0]<0>})b)\cdot m)_0)\\
\overset{(1.12)}{=} \;& \langle g_1, S^{-1}\xi_H(a_{[0]<1>})\rangle\langle g_{22},\xi_H^{-1}(a_{[-1]})\rangle\langle f,((\xi_H^2(a_{[0]<0>_{[0]<1>}})b_{[0]<1>})\xi_H^{-1}(m_1))_1\\
& S^{-1}(\xi_H^2(a_{[0]<0>_{[-1]}})b_{[-1]})_1\rangle\langle g_{21},\xi_H^{-2}(((\xi_H^2(a_{[0]<0>_{[0]<1>}})b_{[0]<1>})\xi_H^{-1}(m_1))_2\\
& S^{-1}(\xi_H^2(a_{[0]<0>_{[-1]}})b_{[-1]})_2)\rangle\xi_M^2(\xi_A(\xi_A^2(a_{[0]<0>_{[0]<0>}})b_{[0]<0>})\cdot m_0)\\
= \;& \langle g, S^{-1}\xi_H(a_{[0]<1>})((((a_{[0]<0>_{[0]<1>2}}\xi_H^{-2}(b_{[0]<1>2}))\xi_H^{-3}(m_{12}))\\
& S^{-1}(a_{[0]<0>_{[-1]1}}\xi_H^{-2}(b_{[-1]1})))\xi_H^{-1}(a_{[-1]}))\rangle\langle f,((\xi_H^2(a_{[0]<0>_{[0]<1>1}})b_{[0]<1>1})\xi_H^{-1}(m_{11}))\\
& S^{-1}(\xi_H^2(a_{[0]<0>_{[-1]2}})b_{[-1]2})\rangle\xi_M^2((\xi_A^3(a_{[0]<0>_{[0]<0>}})\xi_A(b_{[0]<0>}))\cdot m_0)\\
= \;& \langle g, S^{-1}\xi_H^3(a_{[0]<1>22})(((a_{[0]<1>21}\xi_H^{-1}(b_{[0]<1>2}))\xi_H^{-2}(m_{12}))(S^{-1}\xi_H^{-1}(b_{[-1]1})\\
& (S^{-1}\xi_H^{-1}(a_{[-1]12})\xi_H^{-1}(a_{[-1]11})))))\rangle\langle f,((a_{[0]<1>1})b_{[0]<1>1})\xi_H^{-1}(m_{11}))\\
& S^{-1}(a_{[-1]2}b_{[-1]2})\rangle\xi_M^2(\xi_A(a_{[0]<0>}b_{[0]<0>}))\cdot m_0)\\
= \;& \langle g,\xi_H^2(b_{[0]<1>2})(\xi_H^{-1}(m_{12})(S^{-1}(b_{[-1]1}))\rangle\langle f,((\xi_H(a_{[0]<1>})b_{[0]<1>1})\xi_H^{-1}(m_{01}))\\
& S^{-1}(\xi_H^{-1}(a_{[-1]2})b_{[-1]2})\rangle\xi_M^3((a_{[0]<0>}b_{[0]<0>})\cdot m_{00})\\
= \;& \langle g,(b_{[0]<1>}\xi_H^{-2}(m_1))S^{-1}(b_{[-1]})\rangle\langle f,((\xi_H^{-1}(a_{[0]<1>})\xi_H(b_{[0]<0>_{[0]<1>}}))\xi_H^{-1}(m_{01}))\\
& S^{-1}(\xi_H^{-1}(a_{[-1]2})\xi_H(b_{[0]<0>_{[-1]}}))\rangle\xi_M^3((a_{[0]<0>}\xi_A(b_{[0]<0>_{[-1]}}))\cdot m_{00})\\
\overset{(1.12)}{=} \;& \langle g,(b_{[0]<1>}\xi_H^{-2}(m_1))S^{-1}(b_{[-1]})\rangle\langle f,(a\cdot(\xi_A(b_{[0]<0>})\cdot\xi_M^{-1}(m_0)))_1\rangle\\
& \xi_M^2((\xi_A(a)\cdot\xi_M(\xi_A(b_{[0]<0>})\cdot\xi_M^{-1}(m_0)))_0)\\
\overset{(1.12)}{=} \;& \langle g,(b\cdot\xi_M^{-1}(m))_1\rangle\langle\xi_H^{*-1}(f),(\xi_A(a)\cdot\xi_M((b\cdot\xi_M^{-1}(m))_0))_1\rangle\\
& \xi_M^2((\xi_A(a)\cdot\xi_M((b\cdot\xi_M^{-1}(m))_0))_0)\\
= \;& (\xi_H^{*-1}(f)\bowtie\xi_A(a))\triangleright\xi_M^2((b\cdot\xi_M^{-1}(m))_0)\langle g,(b\cdot\xi_M^{-1}(m))_1\rangle\\
= \;& (\xi_H^{*-1}(f)\bowtie\xi_A(a))\triangleright[(g\bowtie b)\triangleright m],
\end{aligned}
$$

as needed. It is not hard to see that $(\varepsilon_H\bowtie 1_A)\triangleright m=\xi_M(m)$, for all $m\in M$, so M is a left $H^*\bowtie A$-module. The fact that a morphism in ${}_A\mathcal{MHYD}^H(H)$ becomes a morphism in ${}_{H^*\bowtie A}\mathcal{M}$ can be proved more easily, we leave the details to the reader. ■

Lemma 2.6. Let (H,ξ_H) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra and (H,A,H) a Yetter-Drinfeld Hom-datum and assume H is finite dimensional. We have a functor $G:{}_{H^*\bowtie A}\mathcal{M}\to{}_A\mathcal{MHYD}^H(H)$, given by $G(M)=M$ as k-module, with the structure maps defined by

$$u\cdot m=(\varepsilon_H\bowtie\xi_A^{-1}(u))\triangleright m, \tag{2. 8}$$

$$\rho_M : M \to M \otimes H, \quad \rho_M(m) = m_0 \otimes m_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\xi_H^{*2}(e^i) \bowtie 1_A) \triangleright \xi_M^{-2}(m) \otimes e_i, \qquad (2.\ 9)$$

for all $u \in (A, \xi_A)$ and $m \in (M, \xi_M)$. Here $\{e_i\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$ is a basis of H and $\{e^i\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$ is the corresponding dual basis of H^*. G transforms a morphism to itself.

Proof. The most difficult part of the proof is to show that $G(M)$ satisfies the relations (1. 12) or (1. 13). It is then straightforward to show that a map in ${}_{H^* \bowtie A}\mathcal{M}$ is also a map in ${}_A\mathcal{MHYD}^H(H)$, and that G is a functor.

We compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
& u_{<0>} \cdot m_0 \otimes u_{<1>} m_1 \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\varepsilon_H \bowtie \xi_A^{-1}(u_{<0>})) \triangleright ((\xi_H^{*2}(e^i) \bowtie 1_A) \triangleright \xi_M^{-2}(m)) \otimes u_{<1>} e_i \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{n} [\varepsilon_H(\xi_A^{-2}(u_{<0>_{[-1]}}) \rightharpoonup (\xi_H^{*4}(e^i) \leftharpoonup S^{-1}\xi_H^{-2}(u_{<0>_{[0]<1>}}))) \bowtie \xi_A(u_{<0>_{[0]<0>}})] \triangleright \xi_M^{-1}(m) \\
& \otimes u_{<1>} e_i \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle e_1^i, S^{-1}\xi_H(u_{<0>_{[0]<1>}}) \rangle \langle e_{22}^i, \xi_H^{-1}(u_{<0>_{[-1]}}) \rangle \langle e_{21}^i, \xi_H^{-1}((\xi_A(u_{<0>_{[0]<0>}}) \cdot \xi_M^{-2}(m))_1) \rangle \\
& \xi_M^2((\xi_A(u_{<0>_{[0]<0>}}) \cdot \xi_M^{-2}(m))_0) \otimes u_{<1>} e_i \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle e^i, S^{-1}\xi_H(u_{<0>_{[0]<1>}})((u_{<0>_{[0]<0>}} \cdot \xi_M^{-3}(m))_1 \xi_H^{-1}(u_{<0>_{[-1]}})) \rangle \\
& \xi_M^2((\xi_A(u_{<0>_{[0]<0>}}) \cdot \xi_M^{-2}(m))_0) \otimes u_{<1>} e_i \\
= & \xi_M^2((\xi_A(u_{<0>_{[0]<0>}}) \cdot \xi_M^{-2}(m))_0) \otimes (\xi_H^{-1}(u_{<1>}) S^{-1}\xi_H(u_{<0>_{[0]<1>}})) \\
& \xi_H((u_{<0>_{[0]<0>}} \cdot \xi_M^{-3}(m))_1 u_{<0>_{[-1]}}) \\
= & \xi_M^2((u_{<0>_{[0]}} \cdot \xi_M^{-2}(m))_0) \otimes (u_{<1>2}) S^{-1}(u_{<1>1}))((u_{<0>_{[0]}} \cdot \xi_M^{-2}(m))_1 \xi_H(u_{<0>_{[-1]}})) \\
= & \xi_M((u_{[0]} \cdot \xi_M^{-1}(m))_0) \otimes (u_{[0]} \cdot \xi_M^{-1}(m))_1 u_{[-1]},
\end{aligned}$$

for all $u \in (A, \xi_A)$ and $m \in (M, \xi_M)$, and this finishes the proof. ■

The next result generalizes ([4], Prop. 4.3), which is recovered by taking $H = A$.

Theorem 2.7. Let (H, ξ_H) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra and (H, A, H) a Yetter-Drinfeld datum, assuming H to be finite dimensional. Then the categories ${}_A\mathcal{MHYD}^H(H)$ and ${}_{H^* \bowtie A}\mathcal{M}$ are isomorphic.

Proof. We have to verify that the functors F and G defined in Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6 are inverse to each other. Let $M \in_A \mathcal{MHYD}^H(H)$. The structures on $G(F(M))$ are denoted by $\cdot'$ and ρ_M'. For any $u \in (A, \xi_A)$ and $m \in (M, \xi_M)$ we have that

$$u \cdot' m = (\varepsilon \bowtie \xi_A^{-1}(u)) \triangleright m = \langle \varepsilon, (\xi_A^{-1}(u) \cdot \xi_M^{-1}(m))_1 \rangle \xi_M^2((\xi_A^{-1}(u) \cdot \xi_M^{-1}(m))_0) = u \cdot m.$$

We now compute for $m \in (M, \xi_M)$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_M^{'}(m) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\xi_H^{*2}(e^i) \bowtie 1_A) \triangleright \xi_M^{-2}(m) \otimes e_i \\
&\overset{(2.7)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle \xi_H^{*2}(e^i), (1_A \cdot \xi_M^{-3}(m))_1 \rangle \xi_M^2((1_A \cdot \xi_M^{-3}(m))_0) \otimes e_i \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle e^i, m_1 \rangle m_0 \otimes e_i = \rho_M(m).
\end{aligned}$$

Conversely, take $M \in_{H^* \bowtie A} \mathcal{M}$. We want to show that $F(G(M)) = M$. If we denote the left $H^* \bowtie A$-action on $F(G(M))$ by $\mapsto$, then using Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6 we find, for all $f \in (H^*, \xi_H^{*-1}), u \in (A, \xi_A)$ and $m \in (M, \xi_M)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(f \bowtie u) \mapsto m &= \langle f, (u \cdot \xi_M^{-1}(m))_1 \rangle \xi_M^2((u \cdot \xi_M^{-1}(m))_0) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle f, e_i \rangle \xi_M^2((\xi_H^{*2}(e^i) \bowtie 1_A) \triangleright \xi_M^{-2}(u \cdot \xi_M^{-1}(m))) \\
&= \langle \xi_H^{*2}(f), \xi_M^{-2}(u \cdot \xi_M^{-1}(m)) \rangle \xi_M^2(u \cdot \xi_M^{-1}(m)) \\
&= (f \bowtie u) \triangleright m,
\end{aligned}$$

and this finishes our proof. ■

Proposition 2.8. Let (H, ξ_H) be finite dimensional and $H(\alpha, \beta)$ be an H-Hom-bicomdule algebra, with an H-Hom-comodule structures showed in Example 2.9 (in [18]). Then ${}_{H(\alpha,\beta)}\mathcal{MHYD}^H(H) \simeq_{H^* \bowtie H(\alpha,\beta)} \mathcal{M}$.

The proof is left to the reader.

Recall from Prop.2.12 in [18], ${}_H\mathcal{MHYD}^H(\alpha, \beta) =_{H(\alpha,\beta)} \mathcal{MHYD}^H(H)$.

Proposition 2.9. ${}_H\mathcal{MHYD}^H(\alpha, \beta) \simeq_{H^* \bowtie H(\alpha,\beta)} \mathcal{M}$.

We just give the correspondence as follows. If $M \in_H \mathcal{MHYD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$, then $M \in_{H^* \bowtie H(\alpha,\beta)} \mathcal{M}$ with structure

$$(f \bowtie h) \triangleright m = f((h \cdot \xi_M^{-1}(m))_1) \xi_M^2((h \cdot \xi_M^{-1}(m))_0).$$

Conversely, if $M \in_{H^* \bowtie H(\alpha,\beta)} \mathcal{M}$, then $M \in_H \mathcal{MHYD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ with structures

$$\begin{aligned}
h \cdot m &= (\varepsilon_H \bowtie \xi_H^{-1}(h)) \triangleright m, \\
\rho_M(m) &= m_0 \otimes m_1 = (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_H^{*2}(e^i) \bowtie 1_A) \triangleright \xi_M^{-2}(m) \otimes e_i
\end{aligned}$$

for all $f \in H^*, h \in H, m \in M$, where $\{e_i\}_{1,\ldots,n}, \{e^i\}_{1,\ldots,n}$ are dual bases in H and H^*. The proof is left to the reader.

3. A BRAIDED T-CATEGORY $Rep(\mathcal{MHD}(H))$

Denote $G = Aut_{mHH}(H) \times Aut_{mHH}(H)$ a group with multiplication as follows: for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in Aut_{mHH}(H)$,

$$(\alpha, \beta) * (\gamma, \delta) = (\alpha\gamma, \delta\gamma^{-1}\beta\gamma).$$

The unit of this group is (id, id) and $(\alpha, \beta)^{-1} = (\alpha^{-1}, \alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1})$.

In this section we will construct a monoidal Hom-Hopf T-coalgebra over G, denoted by $\mathcal{MHD}(H)$, and prove that the T-category $Rep(\mathcal{MHD}(H))$ of representation of $\mathcal{MHD}(H)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{MHYD}(H)$ in [18] as braided T-categories.

Proposition 3.1. Let $(M, \xi_M) \in_H \mathcal{MHYD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ and assume that (M, ξ_M) is finite dimensional. Then $(M^*, \xi_M^{*-1}) = Hom(M, k)$ becomes an object in ${}_H\mathcal{MHYD}^H(\alpha^{-1}, \alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1})$, with module structure

$$(h \cdot p)(m) = p(\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}S\xi_H^{-1}(h) \cdot \xi_M^{-2}(m)),$$

and comodule structure

$$\rho(p)(m) = p_0(\xi_M^{-1}(m)) \otimes \xi_H(p_1) = p(\xi_M(m_0)) \otimes S^{-1}\xi_H^2(m_1),$$

for all $h \in H, p \in M^*$ and $m \in M$. Moreover, the maps $b_M : k \to M \otimes M^*$, $b_M(1) = \sum_i c_i \otimes c^i$ (where $\{c_i\}$ and $\{c^i\}$ are dual bases in M and M^*) and $d_M : M^* \otimes M \to k$, $d_M(p \otimes m) = p(m)$, are left H-module maps and right H-comodule maps and we have

$$(\xi_M \otimes d_M)(b_M \otimes \xi_M^{-1}) = id_M, \quad (d_M \otimes \xi_M^{*-1})(\xi_M^* \otimes b_M) = id_{M^*}.$$

Proof. Following the idea of the proof of Panaite and Staic ([10], Prop. 3.6), we first

prove that (M^*, ξ_M^{*-1}) is indeed an object in ${}_H\mathcal{MHYD}^H(\alpha^{-1}, \alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1})$. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\xi_H(h_{21}) \cdot p_0)(m) \otimes (\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}(h_{22})\xi_H^{-1}(p_1))\alpha^{-1}S^{-1}(h_1) \\
= \;& p_0(\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}S(h_{21}) \cdot \xi_M^{-2}(m) \otimes (\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}(h_{22})\xi_H^{-1}(p_1))\alpha^{-1}S^{-1}(h_1) \\
= \;& p(\xi_M^2((\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}S(h_{21}) \cdot \xi_M^{-2}(m))_0)) \otimes (\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}(h_{22})S^{-1}((\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}S(h_{21}) \cdot \xi_M^{-2}(m))_1)) \\
& \alpha^{-1}S^{-1}(h_1) \\
= \;& p(\xi_M^2(\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}S\xi_H(h_{2112}) \cdot \xi_M^{-2}(m_0))) \otimes (\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}(h_{22})S^{-1}((\alpha^{-1}S(h_{2111})\xi_H^{-3}(m_1)) \\
& \alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}(h_{212})))\alpha^{-1}S^{-1}(h_1) \\
= \;& p(\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}S\xi_H^3(h_{2112}) \cdot m_0) \otimes (\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}(h_{22})(\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}S^{-1}(h_{212}) \\
& (S^{-1}\xi_H^{-3}(m_1)\alpha^{-1}(h_{2111}))))\alpha^{-1}S^{-1}(h_1) \\
= \;& p(\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}S\xi_H^3(h_{2112}) \cdot m_0) \otimes ((\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}\xi_H^{-1}(h_{22})\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}S^{-1}(h_{212})) \\
& (S^{-1}\xi_H^{-2}(m_1)\alpha^{-1}\xi_H(h_{2111})))\alpha^{-1}S^{-1}(h_1) \\
= \;& p(\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}S\xi_H^3(h_{2112}) \cdot m_0) \otimes (\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}(h_{22})\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}S^{-1}\xi_H(h_{212})) \\
& ((S^{-1}\xi_H^{-2}(m_1)\alpha^{-1}\xi_H(h_{2111}))\alpha^{-1}S^{-1}\xi_H^{-1}(h_1)) \\
= \;& p(\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}S\xi_H(h_{21}) \cdot m_0) \otimes (\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}\xi_H(h_{222})\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}S^{-1}\xi_H(h_{221})) \\
& (S^{-1}\xi_H^{-1}(m_1)(\alpha^{-1}\xi_H^{-1}(h_{12})\alpha^{-1}S^{-1}\xi_H^{-1}(h_{11}))) \\
= \;& p(\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}S\xi_H^{-1}(h) \cdot m_0) \otimes S^{-1}\xi_H(m_1) \\
= \;& (h \cdot p)(\xi_M^2(m_0)) \otimes S^{-1}\xi_H(m_1) \\
= \;& (h \cdot p)_0(m) \otimes (h \cdot p)_1,
\end{aligned}$$

which means that

$$(h \cdot p)_0 \otimes (h \cdot p)_1 = (\xi_H(h_{21}) \cdot p_0) \otimes (\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}(h_{22})\xi_H^{-1}(p_1))\alpha^{-1}S^{-1}(h_1).$$

On k we have the trivial Hom-module and Hom-comodule structure, and with these $k \in_H \mathcal{YD}^H$. We want to prove that b_M and d_M are H-Hom-module maps. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
(h \cdot b_M(1))(m) &= (h \cdot (\sum_i c_i \otimes c^i))(m) \\
&\overset{(1.9)}{=} \sum_i \alpha^{-1}(h_1) \cdot c_i \otimes (\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}(h_2) \cdot c^i)(m) \\
&= \sum_i \alpha^{-1}(h_1) \cdot c_i \otimes c^i(\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}S\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}\xi_H^{-1}(h_2) \cdot \xi_M^{-2}(m)) \\
&= \sum_i \alpha^{-1}(h_1) \cdot c_i \otimes c^i(S\alpha^{-1}\xi_H^{-1}(h_2) \cdot \xi_M^{-2}(m)) \\
&= \alpha^{-1}(h_1) \cdot (S\alpha^{-1}\xi_H^{-1}(h_2) \cdot \xi_M^{-2}(m)) \\
&= \alpha^{-1}(\xi_{-1}(h_1)S\xi_H^{-1}(h_2)) \cdot \xi_M^{-1}(m) \\
&= \varepsilon(h)\sum_i c_i \otimes c^i(m) \\
&= (\varepsilon(h)b_M(1))(m),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_M(h\cdot(p\otimes m)) &\overset{(1.9)}{=} d_M(\alpha(h_1)\cdot p\otimes\beta^{-1}(h_2)\cdot m)\\ &= (\alpha(h_1)\cdot p)(\beta^{-1}(h_2)\cdot m)\\ &= p(\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}S\alpha\xi_H^{-1}(h_1)\cdot\xi_M^{-2}(\beta^{-1}(h_2)\cdot m))\\ &= p(\beta^{-1}(S\xi_H^{-2}(h_1)\xi_H^{-2}(h_2))\cdot\xi_M^{-1}(m))\\ &= \varepsilon(h)d_M(p\otimes m). \end{aligned}$$

They also are H-Hom-comodule maps;

$$\begin{aligned} ((b_M(1))_0\otimes(b_M(1))_1)(m) &= \sum_i (c_i)_0\otimes(c^i)_0(m)\otimes(c^i)_1(c_i)_1\\ &= \sum_i (c_i)_0\otimes c^i(\xi_M^2(m_0))\otimes S^{-1}\xi_H(m_1)(c_i)_1\\ &= \xi_M^2(m_{00})\otimes S^{-1}\xi_H(m_1)\xi_H^2(m_{01})\\ &= \xi_M(m_0)\otimes S^{-1}\xi_H^2(m_{12})\xi_H^2(m_{11})\\ &= (b_M(1)\otimes 1)(m), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_M((p\otimes m)_0)\otimes(p\otimes m)_1 &= p_0(m_0)\otimes m_1p_1\\ &= p(\xi_M^2(m_{00}))\otimes m_1S^{-1}\xi_H(m_{01})\\ &= p(\xi_M(m_0))\otimes\xi_H(m_{12})S^{-1}\xi_H(m_{11})\\ &= d_M(p\otimes m)\otimes 1. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} (\xi_M\otimes d_M)(b_M\otimes\xi_M^{-1})(m) &= (\xi_M\otimes d_M)(b_M(1)\otimes\xi_M^{-1}(m))\\ &= (\xi_M\otimes d_M)(\sum_i(c_i\otimes c^i)\otimes\xi_M^{-1}(m))\\ &= \sum_i \xi_M^2(c_i)\otimes c^i(\xi_M^{-2}(m)) = m \end{aligned}$$

The argument for $(d_M\otimes\xi_M^{*-1})(\xi_M^*\otimes b_M) = id_{M^*}$ is analogous. ■

Similarly, one can obtain:

Proposition 3.2. Let $(M,\xi_M)\in_H\mathcal{MHYD}^H(\alpha,\beta)$ and assume that (M,ξ_M) is finite dimensional. Then $({}^*M,{}^*\xi_M^{-1}) = Hom(M,k)$ becomes an object in ${}_H\mathcal{MHYD}^H(\alpha^{-1},\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1})$, with module structure

$$(h\cdot p)(m) = p(\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}S\xi_H^{-1}(h)\cdot\xi_M^{-2}(m)),$$

and comodule structure

$$\rho(p)(m) = p_0(\xi_M^{-1}(m))\otimes\xi_H(p_1) = p(\xi_M(m_0))\otimes S^{-1}\xi_H^2(m_1),$$

for all $h\in H,\ p\in\ {}^*M$ and $m\in M$. Moreover, the maps $b_M: k\to M\otimes\ {}^*M,\ b_M(1) = \sum_i c_i\otimes c^i$ (where $\{c_i\}$ and $\{c^i\}$ are dual bases in M and ${}^*M$) and $d_M:\ {}^*M\otimes M\to k,\ d_M(p\otimes m) = p(m)$, are left H-module maps and right H-comodule maps and we have

$$(\xi_M\otimes d_M)(b_M\otimes\xi_M^{-1}) = id_M,\quad (d_M\otimes^*\xi_M^{-1})({}^*\xi_M\otimes b_M) = id_{{}^*M}.$$

Now, if we consider $\mathcal{MHYD}(H)_{fd}$, the subcategory of $\mathcal{MHYD}(H)$ consisting of finite dimensional objects, then by Proposition 3.1. and Proposition 3.2. we obtain:

Corollary 3.3. $\mathcal{MHYD}(H)_{fd}$ is a braided T-category with left and right dualities over G.

Assume now that (H, ξ_H) is finite dimensional. We will construct a monoidal Hom-Hopf T-coalgebra over G, denoted by $\mathcal{MHD}(H)$, with the property that the T-category $Rep(\mathcal{MHD}(H))$ of representation of $\mathcal{MHD}(H)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{MHYD}(H)$ as braided T-categories.

Theorem 3.4. $\mathcal{MHD}(H) = \{\mathcal{MHD}(H)_{(\alpha,\beta)}\}_{(\alpha,\beta)\in G}$ is a monoidal Hom-Hopf T-coalgebra with the following structures:

- For any $(\alpha, \beta) \in G$, the (α, β)-component $\mathcal{MHD}(H)_{(\alpha,\beta)}$ will be the diagonal crossed Hom-product algebra $H^* \bowtie H(\alpha, \beta)$ in Eq. (2. 4),
- The comultiplication on $\mathcal{MHD}(H)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{(\alpha,\beta),(\gamma,\delta)} : \mathcal{MHD}(H)_{(\alpha,\beta)*(\gamma,\delta)} &\to \mathcal{MHD}(H)_{(\alpha,\beta)} \otimes \mathcal{MHD}(H)_{(\gamma,\delta)}, \\ \Delta_{(\alpha,\beta),(\gamma,\delta)}(f \bowtie h) &= (f_1 \bowtie \gamma(h_1)) \otimes (f_2 \bowtie \gamma^{-1}\beta\gamma(h_2)), \end{aligned}$$

- The counit ε is obtained by setting

$$\varepsilon(f \bowtie h) = \varepsilon(h) f(1_H),$$

- For any $(\alpha, \beta) \in G$, the $(\alpha, \beta)^{th}$ component of the antipode of $\mathcal{MHD}(H)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{(\alpha,\beta)} : \mathcal{MHD}(H)_{(\alpha,\beta)} &\to \mathcal{MHD}(H)_{(\alpha,\beta)^{-1}} = \mathcal{MHD}(H)_{(\alpha^{-1},\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1})}, \\ S_{(\alpha,\beta)}(f \bowtie h) &= (\varepsilon \bowtie \alpha\beta S\xi_H^{-1}(h))(S^{*-1}\xi_H^*(f) \bowtie 1_H), \end{aligned}$$

- For $(\alpha, \beta), (\gamma, \delta) \in G$, the conjugation isomorphism is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(\alpha,\beta)} : \mathcal{MHD}(H)_{(\gamma,\delta)} &\to \mathcal{MHD}(H)_{(\alpha,\beta)*(\gamma,\delta)*(\alpha,\beta)^{-1}}, \\ \varphi_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(f \bowtie h) &= (f \circ \beta\alpha^{-1} \bowtie \alpha\gamma^{-1}\beta^{-1}\gamma(h)), \end{aligned}$$

for all $f \in H^*, h \in H$.

Proof. We have to check the axioms of monoidal Hom-Hopf T-coalgebra. Hom-coassociativity and multiplicativity of Δ are satisfied. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& m_{(\alpha,\beta)}(id \otimes S_{(\alpha,\beta)^{-1}})\Delta_{(\alpha,\beta),(\alpha,\beta)^{-1}}(f \bowtie h) \\
= \;& (f_1 \bowtie \alpha^{-1}(h_1))[(\varepsilon \bowtie S\alpha^{-1}\xi_H^{-1}(h_2))(S^{*-1}\xi^*(f_2) \bowtie 1_H)] \\
= \;& [(\xi_H^*(f_1) \bowtie \alpha^{-1}\xi_H^{-1}(h_1))(\varepsilon \bowtie S\alpha^{-1}\xi_H^{-1}(h_2))](S^{*-1}(f_2) \bowtie 1_H) \\
= \;& [(\xi_H^*(f_1)(\alpha((\alpha^{-1}\xi_H^{-1}(h_1))_1) \rightharpoonup (\varepsilon \leftharpoonup S^{-1}\beta((\alpha^{-1}\xi_H^{-1}(h_1))_{22}))) \\
& \bowtie \xi_H^2((\alpha^{-1}\xi_H^{-1}(h_1))_{21})S\alpha^{-1}\xi_H^{-1}(h_2))](S^{*-1}(f_2) \bowtie 1_H) \\
= \;& [f_1\varepsilon(S^{-1}\beta\alpha^{-1}\xi_H^{-2}(h_{122}))\varepsilon(\xi_H^{-2}(h_{11})) \bowtie \alpha^{-1}\xi_H(h_{121})S\alpha^{-1}\xi_H^{-1}(h_2)] \\
& (S^{*-1}(f_2) \bowtie 1_H) \\
= \;& (f_1 \bowtie \alpha^{-1}\xi_H^{-1}(h_1S(h_2)))(S^{*-1}(f_2) \bowtie 1_H) \\
= \;& \varepsilon(h)(f_1 \bowtie 1_H)(S^{*-1}(f_2) \bowtie 1_H) \\
= \;& \varepsilon(h)(f_1S^{*-1}(f_2) \bowtie 1_H) \\
= \;& \varepsilon(f \bowtie h)(\varepsilon \bowtie 1_H),
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly $m_{(\alpha,\beta)}(S_{(\alpha,\beta)^{-1}} \otimes id)\Delta_{(\alpha,\beta)^{-1},(\alpha,\beta)}(f \bowtie h) = \varepsilon(f \bowtie h)(\varepsilon \bowtie 1_H)$. This completes the proof. ■

Moreover, via the isomorphisms Prop.2.9. ${}_H\mathcal{MHYD}^H(\alpha,\beta) \simeq_{H^* \bowtie H(\alpha,\beta)} \mathcal{M}$, we obtain

Theorem 3.5. *Rep*$(\mathcal{MHD}(H)) \simeq \mathcal{MHYD}(H)$ as braided T-categories over G.

4. A BRAIDED T-CATEGORY $\mathcal{ZMHYD}(H)$

In this section, we will construct a new braided T-category $\mathcal{ZMHYD}(H)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 4.1. Let (C, ξ_C) be a monoidal Hom-coalgebra. Then g is called a group-like element, that is

$$\xi_C(g) = g, \quad \Delta(g) = g \otimes g, \quad \varepsilon(g) = 1,$$

for all $g \in C$.

Example 4.2. Recall from Example 3.5 in [3] that $(H_4 = k\{1,\ g,\ x,\ y = gx\ \}, \xi_{H_4}, \Delta, \varepsilon, S)$ is a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra, where the algebraic structure are given as follows:

• The multiplication " $\circ$ " is given by

$\circ$	1_{H_4}	g	x	y
1_{H_4}	1_{H_4}	g	cx	cy
g	g	1_{H_4}	cy	cx
x	cx	$-cy$	0	0
y	cy	$-cx$	0	0

• The automorphism ξ_{H_4} is given by $\xi_{H_4}(1) = 1,\ \xi_{H_4}(g) = g,\ \xi_{H_4}(x) = cx$, $\xi_{H_4}(gx) = cgx$, for all $0 \neq c \in k$;

• The comultiplication Δ is defined by

$$\Delta(1) = 1 \otimes 1, \qquad \Delta(g) = g \otimes g,$$
$$\Delta(x) = c^{-1}(x \otimes 1) + c^{-1}(g \otimes x), \qquad \Delta(gx) = c^{-1}(gx \otimes g) + c^{-1}(1 \otimes gx);$$

• The counit ε is defined by $\varepsilon(1) = 1,\ \ \varepsilon(g) = 1,\ \ \varepsilon(x) = 0,\ \ \varepsilon(gx) = 0$.

• The antipode S is given by $S(1) = 1,\ \ S(g) = g,\ \ S(x) = -gx,\ \ S(gx) = -x$.

Then $1_{H_4}, g$ are group-like elements of H_4.

In [18], the authors introduced the notion of left-right (α, β)-Yetter-Drinfeld Hom-module *Definition 1.2.6.* We will in the section give its some special cases.

Example 4.3. For $(M, \xi_M) \in_H \mathcal{MHYD}^H(S^2, id)$, the *left-right anti-Yetter-Drinfeld Hom-module category,* i.e., the compatibility condition is

$$(h \cdot m)_0 \otimes (h \cdot m)_1 = \xi_H(h_{21}) \cdot m_0 \otimes (h_{22}\xi_H^{-1}(m_1))S(h_1),$$

for $h \in H, m \in M$.

Example 4.4. In ${}_H\mathcal{MHYD}^H(S^{2n}, id)$, the object is called a *left-right n-Yetter-Drinfeld Hom-modules, i.e., $n - \mathcal{MHYD}$-module*, for $(M, \xi_M) \in_H \mathcal{MHYD}^H(S^{2n}, id)$, the compatibility condition is

$$(h \cdot m)_0 \otimes (h \cdot m)_1 = \xi_H(h_{21}) \cdot m_0 \otimes (h_{22}\xi_H^{-1}(m_1))S^{2n-1}(h_1),$$

for $h \in H, m \in M$.

Example 4.5. Similar to Panaite and Staic ([10], Example 2.7), for $\alpha, \beta \in Aut_{mHH}(H)$, and assume that there is an algebra map $\theta : H \to k$ and a group-like element $\omega \in (H, \xi_H)$ such that

$$\alpha(h) = \omega^{-1}(\theta(h_{11})\beta(h_{12})\theta(S(h_2))\omega), \quad \forall h \in H.$$

Then we can check that $k \in_H \mathcal{MHYD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ with structures: $h \cdot 1 = \theta(h)$ and $\rho(1) = 1 \otimes \omega$. More generally, if V is any vector space, then $(V, \xi_V) \in_H \mathcal{MHYD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$, with structures $h \cdot v = \theta(h)\xi_V(v)$ and $\rho(v) = v_0 \otimes v_1 = \xi_V^{-1}(v) \otimes \omega$, for all $h \in H$ and $v \in V$.

If $\alpha, \beta \in Aut_{mHH}(H)$ such that there exist (θ, ω) as show in Example 4.1, we will say that (θ, ω) is a pair in involution corresponding to (α, β) and the left-right (α, β)-Yetter-Drinfeld Hom-modules k and (V, ξ_V) will be denoted by ${}_\theta k^\omega$ and ${}_\theta V^\omega$, respectively.

In the following, we will show that in the presence of a pair in involution, there exists an isomorphism of categories ${}_H\mathcal{MHYD}^H(\alpha, \beta) \simeq_H \mathcal{MHYD}^H$.

Proposition 4.6. Let $\alpha, \beta \in Aut_{mHH}(H)$ and assume that there exists (θ, ω) a pair in involution corresponding to (α, β). Then the categories ${}_H\mathcal{MHYD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ and ${}_H\mathcal{MHYD}^H$ are isomorphic.

Proof. In order to prove the isomorphism between two categories, we only need to give a pair of inverse functors. The functors pair (F, G) is given as follows.

If $(M, \xi_M) \in_H \mathcal{MHYD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$, then $(F(M), \xi_M) \in_H \mathcal{MHYD}^H$, where $F(M) = M$ as vector space, with structures

$$\begin{aligned} h \rightarrow m = &\quad \theta(\beta^{-1}S(h_1))\beta^{-1}(h_2) \cdot m, \\ \rho(m) =: &\quad m_{<0>} \otimes m_{<1>} = m_0 \otimes m_1\omega^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

If $(N, \xi_N) \in_H \mathcal{MHYD}^H$, then $(G(N), \xi_N) \in_H \mathcal{MHYD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$, where $G(N) = N$ as vector space, with module and comodule structures

$$\begin{aligned} h \rightharpoonup n = &\quad \theta(h_1)\beta(h_2) \cdot n, \\ \rho(n) =: &\quad n^{(0)} \otimes n^{(1)} = n_0 \otimes n_1\omega. \end{aligned}$$

Both F and G act as identities on morphisms.

One checks that F and G are functors and inverse to each other. ■

Proposition 4.7. Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in Aut_{mHH}(H)$. The categories ${}_H\mathcal{MHYD}^H(\alpha\beta, \gamma\beta)$ and ${}_H\mathcal{MHYD}^H(\alpha, \gamma)$ are isomorphic.

Proof. A pair of inverse functors (F, G) is given as follows.

If $(M, \xi_M) \in_H \mathcal{MHYD}^H(\alpha\beta, \gamma\beta)$, then $(F(M), \xi_M) \in_H \mathcal{MHYD}^H(\alpha, \gamma)$, where $F(M) = M$ as vector space, with structures

$$\begin{aligned} h \rightarrow m = &\quad \beta^{-1}(h) \cdot m, \\ \rho(m) =: &\quad m_{<0>} \otimes m_{<1>} = m_0 \otimes m_1. \end{aligned}$$

If $(N, \xi_N) \in_H \mathcal{MHYD}^H(\alpha, \gamma)$, then $(G(N), \xi_N) \in_H \mathcal{MHYD}^H(\alpha\beta, \gamma\beta)$, where $G(N) = N$ as vector space, with module and comodule structures

$$\begin{aligned} h \rightharpoonup n = &\quad \beta(h) \cdot n, \\ \rho(n) =: &\quad n^{(0)} \otimes n^{(1)} = n_0 \otimes n_1. \end{aligned}$$

Both F and G act on morphisms as identities.

We can check that F and G are functors and inverse to each other. This completes the proof. ■

Corollary 4.8. For all $\alpha, \beta \in Aut_{mHH}(H)$, we have isomorphisms of categories:

$${}_H\mathcal{MHYD}^H(\alpha, \beta) \simeq_H \mathcal{MHYD}^H(\alpha\beta^{-1}, id), \quad {}_H\mathcal{MHYD}^H(\alpha, \alpha) \simeq_H \mathcal{MHYD}^H,$$
$${}_H\mathcal{MHYD}^H(\alpha, id) \simeq_H \mathcal{MHYD}^H(id, \alpha^{-1}), \quad {}_H\mathcal{MHYD}^H(id, \beta) \simeq_H \mathcal{MHYD}^H(\alpha^{-1}, id).$$

Let again $\alpha, \beta \in Aut_{mHH}(H)$ such that there exist (θ, ω) a pair in involution corresponding to (α, β), and assume that (H, ξ_H) is finite dimensional. Then we know that ${}_H\mathcal{MHYD}^H(\alpha, \beta) \simeq_{H^* \bowtie H(\alpha,\beta)} \mathcal{M}$, ${}_H\mathcal{MHYD}^H \simeq_{D(H)} \mathcal{M}$ ([4], Proposition 4.3), and the isomorphism ${}_H\mathcal{MHYD}^H(\alpha, \beta) \simeq_H \mathcal{MHYD}^H$ constructed in the theorem is induced by a monoidal Hom-algebra isomorphism as follows.

Corollary 4.9. $(H^* \bowtie H(\alpha,\beta), \xi_H^{*-1} \otimes \xi_H) \simeq (D(H), \xi_H^{*-1} \otimes \xi_H)$ as monoidal Hom-algebras, given by

$$\begin{aligned} &D(H) \to H^* \bowtie H(\alpha,\beta), \qquad f \otimes h \mapsto \omega^{-1} \rightharpoonup f \bowtie \theta(\beta^{-1}(S(h_1)))\beta^{-1}(h_2),\\ &H^* \bowtie H(\alpha,\beta) \to D(H), \qquad f \bowtie h \mapsto \omega \rightharpoonup f \otimes \theta(h_1)\beta(h_2). \end{aligned}$$

for all $h \in H, f \in H^*$, and a group-like element $\omega \in H$.

Finally, we consider some special cases, which are shown in Example 4.3. Similar to the cases in Staic [11], we give the following two propositions.

We define the modular pair (ω, θ) in monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra (H, ξ_H), i.e., θ is an algebra map $H \to k$ and $\omega \in (H, \xi_H)$ is a group-like element satisfying $\theta(\omega) = 1$. Defining an endomorphism $\widetilde{S}$ of (H, ξ_H) by $\widetilde{S}(h) = S(h_1)\theta(h_2)$ for all $h \in H$, then (ω, θ) is called a modular pair in involution if $\widetilde{S}^2(h) = \omega^{-1}(h\omega)$.

Proposition 4.10. Let (H, ξ_H) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra, (ω, θ) a modular pair in involution and (M, ξ_M) a left-right anti-Yetter-Drinfeld Hom-module. If we define a new action of H on M as:

$$h \rightharpoonup m = \theta(S(h_1))h_2 \cdot m,$$

and a new coaction as follows:

$$\rho(m) = m_{<0>} \otimes m_{<1>} = m_0 \otimes m_1\omega^{-1},$$

then $(M, \rightharpoonup, \rho)$ is a left-right Yetter-Drinfeld Hom-module.

Proof. First, since $\theta : H \to k$ is an algebra morphism and ω is a group-like element, the module and comdule structures are given by above formulas.

We denote the involution inverse of θ by θ^{-1}. From $\widetilde{S}^2(h) = \omega^{-1}(h\omega)$, we can get $\theta(S(h_{11}))S^2(h_{12})\theta(h_2) = \omega^{-1}(h\omega)$ and $\theta^{-1}(h_1)S(h_{21})\theta(h_{22}) = \omega^{-1}(S^{-1}(h)\omega)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & (h \rightharpoonup m)_{<0>} \otimes (h \rightharpoonup m)_{<1>}\\ = \;& \theta^{-1}(h_1)(h_2 \cdot m)_0 \otimes (h_2 \cdot m)_1\omega^{-1}\\ = \;& \theta^{-1}(h_1)\xi_H(h_{221}) \cdot m_0 \otimes ((h_{222}\xi_H^{-1}(m_1))S(h_{21}))\omega^{-1}\\ = \;& h_{21} \cdot m_0 \otimes ((\xi_H^{-1}(h_{22})\xi_H^{-1}(m_1))\theta^{-1}(\xi_H(h_{11}))S(h_{12}))\omega^{-1}\\ = \;& h_{21} \cdot m_0 \otimes ((\xi_H^{-1}(h_{22})\xi_H^{-1}(m_1))((\omega^{-1}\omega)\theta^{-1}(\xi_H(h_{11}))S(h_{121})\theta(h_{1221})\theta^{-1}(h_{1222})))\omega^{-1}\\ = \;& h_{21} \cdot m_{<0>} \otimes ((h_{22}\xi_H^{-1}(m_{<1>}))((\omega^{-1}\theta^{-1}(\xi_H^2(h_{111}))S\xi_H(h_{1121})\theta(h_{1122}))\omega^{-1}))\\ & \theta^{-1}(\xi_H^{-2}(h_{12}))\\ = \;& h_{21} \cdot m_{<0>} \otimes (h_{22}\xi_H^{-1}(m_{<1>}))S^{-1}\xi_H(h_{11})\theta^{-1}(\xi_H^{-2}(h_{12}))\\ = \;& \theta^{-1}(\xi_H(h_{211}))\xi_H(h_{212}) \cdot m_{<0>} \otimes (h_{22}\xi_H^{-1}(m_{<1>}))S^{-1}(h_1)\\ = \;& \xi_H(h_{21}) \rightharpoonup m_{<0>} \otimes (h_{22}\xi_H^{-1}(m_{<1>}))S^{-1}(h_1) \end{aligned}$$

This means that $(M, \rightharpoonup, \rho)$ is a left-right Yetter-Drinfeld Hom-module. ■

By Example 4.4 and Remark 1.2.7 (3), we have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.11. For any integer numbers m and n, if (M, ξ_M) is a left-right m-Yetter-Drinfeld Hom-module and (N, ξ_N) is an n-Yetter-Drinfeld Hom-module, then $(M \otimes N, \xi_M \otimes \xi_N)$ is a left-right $m+n$-Yetter-Drinfeld Hom-module with module structure and comodule structure as follows

$$\begin{aligned} h \cdot (m \otimes n) &= S^{2n}(h_1) \cdot m \otimes h_2 \cdot n, \\ m \otimes n &\mapsto (m_0 \otimes n_0) \otimes n_1 m_1. \end{aligned}$$

for all $m \in M, n \in N$ and $h \in H$.

Let $\mathcal{ZMHYD}(H)$ be the disjoint union of all categories ${}_H\mathcal{MHYD}^H(S^{2n}, id)$ of left-right n-Yetter-Drinfeld Hom-modules with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the set of integer numbers. Then by Theorem 3.7 in [18] and Proposition 4.11, the following corollary is a generalization of the main result in Staic [11].

Corollary 4.12. $\mathcal{ZMHYD}(H)$ is a braided T-category over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Example 4.13. Let $A = \langle a \rangle$ be a cyclic group of order n, and $Aut(A) = \{\sigma_t : \sigma_t(a) = a^t, 0 < t < n, (t, n) = 1, t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Then $(k[A], \xi_{k[A]})$ is a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra with structure given by

$$\begin{aligned} a^i \circ a^j = \xi^{-1}_{k[A]}(a^i a^j), \qquad & \Delta(a^i) = \xi^{-1}_{k[A]}(a^i) \otimes \xi^{-1}_{k[A]}(a^i), \\ \varepsilon(a^i) = 1_k, \qquad & S(a^i) = a^{-i}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

First, $Aut_{mHH}(k[A]) = Aut(A)$. Let $(H, \xi_H) = (k[A], \xi_{k[A]} = \sigma_2)$ is a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra given by

$$\begin{aligned} a^i \circ a^j = \sigma_2^{-1}(a^i a^i) = a^{i+j-2}, \qquad & \Delta(a^i) = \sigma_2^{-1}(a^i) \otimes \sigma_2^{-1}(a^i) = a^{i-2} \otimes a^{i-2}, \\ \varepsilon(a^i) = 1_k, \qquad & S(a^i) = a^{-i}. \end{aligned}$$

for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is easy to check that

$$S^{2n}(a^i) = a^i,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $\mathcal{ZMHYD}(k[A])$ be the disjoint union of all categories ${}_{k[A]}\mathcal{MHYD}^{k[A]}(S^{2n}, id)$ of left-right $n - \mathcal{MHYD}$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let (M, ξ_M) be an $m - \mathcal{MHYD}$-module and (N, ξ_N) be an $n - \mathcal{MHYD}$-module, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $(M \otimes N, \xi_M \otimes \xi_N)$ is $m + n - \mathcal{MHYD}$-module with structures as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} a^i \cdot (x \otimes y) &= S^{2n}(a^{i-2}) \cdot x \otimes a^{i-2} \cdot y, \\ (x \otimes y) &\mapsto (x_0 \otimes y_0) \otimes y_1 x_1, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in M, y \in N, a^i \in k[A], n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

On ${}^{(S^{2m},id)}N = N$, there is an action $\trianglerighteq$ given by

$$a^i \trianglerighteq y = S^{-2m}(a^i) \cdot y,$$

and a coaction ρ_r defined by

$$y \mapsto y_0 \otimes S^{2m}(y_1),$$

$y \in N, a^i \in k[A], m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let (M, ξ_M) be an $m - \mathcal{MHYD}$-module and (N, ξ_N) be an $n - \mathcal{MHYD}$-module, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the braiding

$$c_{M,N} : M \otimes N \to {}^{(S^{2m},id)}\ N \otimes M$$

is given by

$$c_{M,N}(x \otimes y) = \xi_N(y_0) \otimes y_1 \cdot \xi_M^{-1}(x),$$

for all $x \in M, y \in N, m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Then by Corollary 4.12, $\mathcal{ZMHYD}(k[A])$ is a new braided T-category over $\mathbb{Z}$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the NSF of China (No. 11371088) and the NSF of Jiangsu Province (No. BK2012736).

References

[1] Bulacu D., Panaite F. and Van F. Oystaeyen, (2006). Generalized diagonal crossed products and smash products for quasi-Hopf algebras. *Applications Communications in Mathematical Physics*. 266, 355-399.

[2] Caenepeel S., Goyvaerts I. (2011). Monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras. *Commun. Algebra*. 39, 2216-2240.

[3] Chen Y. Y., Wang Z. W., and Zhang L. Y. (2013). Integrals for monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras and their applications. *J. Math. Phys.* 54, 073515.

[4] Chen Y. Y., Zhang L. Y. (2014). The category of Yetter-Drinfel'd Hom-modules and quantum Hom-Yang-Baxter equation. *J. Math. Phys.* 55, 031702.

[5] Freyd P. J., Yetter D. N. (1989). Braided compact closed categories with applications to low-dimensional topology. *Adv. in Math.* 77(2), 156-182.

[6] Kirillov A. J. (2004). On G-equivariant modular categories. *Math.* QA/0401119.

[7] Liu L., Shen B. L. (2014). Radford's biproducets and Yetter-Drinfeld modules for monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras. *J. Math. Phys.* 55, 031701.

[8] Liu L., Wang, S. H. (2010). Constructing new braided T-categories over weak Hopf algebras. *Appl. Categ. Atruct.* 18, 431-459.

[9] Makhlouf A., Panaite F., Hom-L-R-smash products, Hom-diagonal crossed products and the Drinfeld double of a Hom-Hopf algebra. *arXiv: math. RA*/1403.7077.

[10] Panaite P., Staic M. D. (2007). Generalized (anti) Yetter-Drinfel'd modules as components of a braided T-category. *Israel J. Math.* 158, 349-366.

[11] Staic, M. D. (2007). A note on anti-Yetter-Drinfeld modules. *Contemp. Math.* 441, 149-153.

[12] Sweedler, M. E. (1969). Hopf Algebras, Benjamin, New York, 1969.

[13] Turaev V. G. (1994). Quantum Invariants of Knots and 3-Manifolds. *de Gruyter Stud. Math.* 18, de Gruyter, Berlin.

[14] Turaev, V. G. (2008). Crossed group-categories. *Arab. J. Sci. Eng. Sect. C Theme Issues* 33(2C), 483-503.

[15] Virelizier, A. (2001). Algèbras de Hopf graduées et fibrés plats sur les 3-variétés. *Ph. D. thesis, Universite Louis Pasteur, Strasbourg.*

[16] Virelizier, A. (2005). Involutory Hopf group-coalgebras and flat bundles over 3-manifolds. *Fundam. Math.* 188, 241-270.

[17] Yang, T. (2014). Another construction of the braided T-category. *arXiv: math. RA*/1409.6936v2.

[18] You, M. M., Wang, S. H. (2014). Constructing new braided T-categores over monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras. *J. Math. Phys.* 55, 111701.

[19] Zunino M. (2004). Yetter-Drinfeld modules for crossed structures. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra.* 193, 313-343.